UNITED NATIONS

CAT

Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

Distr.
GENERAL

CAT/C/SR.104-118/
Corrigendum
29 December 1992

Original: ENGLISH

COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE

Eighth session

SUMMARY RECORDS OF THE 104th to 118th MEETINGS

Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva,
from 27 April to 8 May 1992

Corrigendum

The present document contains the corrections received from the participants and from the Secretariat to the English text of the summary records of the public meetings of the Commitee against Torture at its eighth session (CAT/C/SR.104, 105, 106, 107-109, 110/add.1, 111, 112-114 and 116-118).

The Committee against Torture also held five closed meetings, the summary records of which (CAT/C/SR.104/Add.1, 106/Add.1, 110, 111/Add.1 and 115) were issued in restricted distribution. No corrections to the records of the closed meetings were received.

With the issuance of the present corrigendum, the summary records of the meetings held by the Committee against Torture at its eighth session are to be considered as final.

108th meeting

Paragraph 2, line 4

For 1, 8 and 14 read 1, 3, 8 and 14

Paragraph 4, last line

For do so read read a legislative enactment

Paragraph 8

Line 3: after times. insert "Hard labour" must be understood today as all prison sentences of more than 10 years.

Line 4: after abolished insert and replaced by the penalty immediately inferior to it.

Paragraph 9

Line 6: after person insert of Luxembourg nationality

Lines 7 to 10: delete the last sentence

Paragraph 10, last line

Delete if allegations of ill-treatment were made

Paragraph 12

Line 3: for Minors were not kept in custody. read Minors benefited from special conditions in detention centres conceived especially for them.

Last line: for Director of Public Prosecutions read Director of the penal establishment, with the possibility of appeal to the representative of the public prosecutor responsible for penal establishments.

Paragraph 13

Lines 8, 9 and 10 should read

affirmative, provided that either there existed bilateral or multilateral mutual judicial aid agreements or that the States soliciting such collaboration had a Rule of Law State system and had no known record of systematic violations of human rights.

Paragraph 15, line 5

For officers read police officers

Paragraph 18

Line 1: before provisions insert constitutional

Lines 5 to 8 should read

special jurisdiction, and non high-security prisons. The work of the judicial policy could be done by the police corps under the control of the Ministry of Police and the Ministry of the Interior as well as by the gendarmerie under the control of the Ministry of Police. The legislative reform under way tended in that respect, inter alia, to make the work of the judicial police more transparent.

Paragraph 22

For the existing text substitute

22. Mr. SCHOCKWEILER (Luxembourg) said that Luxembourg provided for the application without reservation of the European Convention on Human Rights. Therefore, an appeal made by an individual against the State of Luxembourg could in principal be referred to the European Court of Human Rights.

Paragraph 25, line 3

For was ipso facto applied read could be invoked

111th meeting

Paragraph 19, lines 1 and 2

Delete the first sentence

- - - - -